

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,591	10/24/2001	Pablo Munoz JR.	B-0103.29	2215	
75	90 03/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER 1. MAKAY 1634 Milam Building			MRUK, BRIAN P		
1634 Milam Bu	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Antonio, T	X 78205		1751 DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			eb3			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,591	MUNOZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian P Mruk	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are possible to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ala atia a sa assina a ant					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ammer. Note the attached office		10 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•		Olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	•				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	4				

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-35, drawn to a first nonaqueous foamer composition, classified in class 510, subclass 407.
 - Claims 36-55, drawn to a second solid/semi-solid foamer composition, classified in class 510, subclass 445.
 - III. Claims 56-58, drawn to a third foam composition, classified in class 510, subclass 406.
 - IV. Claims 59-71, drawn to a method of foaming an aqueous solution, classified in class 510, subclass 475.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions of Groups I and II are distinct compositions. Prior art that would render obvious or anticipate one composition would not necessarily render obvious or anticipate the other composition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Inventions of Groups I and III are distinct compositions. Prior art that would render obvious or anticipate one composition would not necessarily render obvious or anticipate the other composition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Inventions of Groups II and III are distinct compositions. Prior art that would render obvious or anticipate one composition would not necessarily render obvious or anticipate the other composition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Inventions of Group IV and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to

Art Unit: 1751

make a materially different product, such as a non-foaming composition or a gelled composition.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group IV is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Inventions of Group II and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP ' 806.04, MPEP ' 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions (i.e. the invention of Group II is a distinct composition from the composition produced by the invention of Group IV).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP ' 806.04, MPEP ' 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions (i.e.

Art Unit: 1751

the invention of Group III is a distinct composition from the composition produced by the invention of Group IV).

Page 5

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 9. A telephone call was made to Christopher L. Makay on March 3, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1751

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Brian Mruk March 3, 2004

Brian P. Mruk
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700

Page 6